



International Journal Of Engineering Sciences & Management Research

A REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON IMPACT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ON PERFORMANCE OF SUPPLY CHAINS

Praneeti Saxena

Department of Mechanical Engineering, UEC, Ujjain, India

Key Words: Supply Chain Management, Information Technology, Key driver, risks associated, impact on operations.

ABSTRACT

In any supply chain the product or the supply moves from supplier to manufacturer to distributors by transporters and then to customers through retailers. Thus Supply Chains are actually networks or the webs. The exponent growth in Information Technology tools have been contributed to the development and expansion of Supply Chain Management all over the world. Thus Information Technology which affects each and every part of the Supply Chain acts as the key driver to provide higher responsiveness while simultaneously improving efficiencies. These tools are used to gather information, analyze the information and to work on it for the better performance of any Supply Chain. But there are several risks associated with the use of Information Technology in the Supply Chain. The larger the change in Information Technology system the greater is the risk of negative impact on operations.

This paper is a review type paper which is based on the standard literature review.

INTRODUCTION

(Oliver and Webber 1992) and (Houlihan 1984) used the term SCM for the internal supply chain that integrates business functions involved in the flow of materials and information from inbound to outbound ends of the business. When a customer's requirement is fulfilled by involving many parties- directly or indirectly they together constitute a chain – Supply Chain. This includes manufacturer, transporter, warehouses, suppliers, retailers and even the customers. Thus in any Supply Chain the product or the supply moves from supplier to manufacturer to distributors by transporters and then to customers through retailer. According to some researchers (Jagdev and Browne, 1998; Jagdev and Thoben, 2001; Tan, 2001) supply chains as extended enterprises are responsible for the whole product life cycle, from material procurement and supply management, to production and manufacturing, further to product distribution and customer service and finally to the recycling and disposal of end-of-life product.. Thus Supply Chains are actually networks or the webs. Today's customer has an access to abundant information related to the varieties, qualities and the prices of the available products. The exponent growth in Information Technology tools have been contributed to the development and expansion of Supply Chain Management all over the world. The development of ITE (Information Technology Enabled) tools- MRP, MRPII, ERP, CPFR, VMI, TAV & VM have spread the efficiencies across the whole chain. Thus Information Technology which affects each and every part of the Supply Chain acts as the key driver to provide higher responsiveness while simultaneously improving efficiencies. These tools are used to gather information, analyze the information and to work on it for the better performance of any Supply Chain. But there are several risks associated with the use of Information Technology in the Supply Chain. The larger the change in Information technology system the greater is the risk of negative impact on operations.

IT AND SCM

The way, organizations conduct business, has been transformed greatly by the recent developments in Information Technology.. Today, customer intimacy are improved by the use of Internet and taking advantage of new business models resulting in cost cutting by the companies through real time electronic communications.. At its core SCM is to optimize the performance of system as a whole. Research has demonstrated that every factory and distribution center used by large manufacturer can be operating at peak efficiency but organization whole can be operating Sub optimally.(R Prasad, 2007). It is analogous to a sports team where the individual player is having great statistics but the team cannot win. The need of the hour is not to work in air tight compartments where every department works efficiently in isolation but to have semi permeable membrane between the departments which lets the information flow.

Today Supply Chain Management is regarded as one of the key functions and is no longer a support function to give any organization a competitive edge in the market. It is strategy to gain advantage through co-ordination of all processes from the procurement of material to the final product to the customer. This requires the efficiently managed information system to monitor all the related activities.

Now a day, on the front of information and communication, technology has progressed rapidly providing an essential tool for decision making. Information sharing among the partners has emerged as the basic enabler for effective Supply Chain input. This has been greatly facilitated by the recent advances in IT (Freeman, 1998; Lee and Whang, 2000). Integration, collaboration, and the use of IT are all depicted as 'building blocks' of 'house of supply chain' in Stadtler (2005). 'Increased importance of information systems' to support supply chain integration and management for the new organization; and the idea that 'ERP provides the digital backbone in supply chain integration' are repeatedly emphasized in the literature (Pant et al. 2003, Bendoly and Kaefer 2004, Gunasekaran et al. 2004, Gunasekaran and Ngai 2004).

IT is used to improve inter organizational coordination (McAfee, 2002; sanders 2008) and in turn, inter organizational coordination has been shown to have a positive impact on firm's performance measures such as customer service, lead time and production costs.

METHODOLOGY

In recent past years, studies have been carried out in the area of performance management by investing one or more parts or the key processes in Supply Chains. This study aims to review the impact of Information Technology on the performance of Supply Chain. Since the topic is relatively new the review was restricted by the limited number of papers. The literature survey was undertaken using online databases relating to publishers such as Emerald, Elsevier, Taylor & Francis, Springer, Palgrave, Inder science and Inter science. This standard literature review included international journals, reports from smaller journals, conference papers and grey literature and the internet material. Initially some key words like "SC performance Measurement", "IT enabled Supply Chain", "Impact of IT tools on SC", "IT tools used in SC", etc. were queried to get the list of papers. In the next step, abstract and conclusions were reviewed to finally select the articles as the base for review. Table 1 lists the articles selected. These 45 articles were found much more relevant for the study as they clearly reveal trends and importance of the IT integration, flexibility, agility and lean concepts for today's Supply Chain Management.

With objective to collect, organize and synthesize existing knowledge related to IT Enabled Supply Chain and the impact of IT on performance of Supply Chain it is to analyze how research in this area has evolved in recent years and to identify some areas for further research, that is, it provides practitioners and academicians with a comprehensive source of information to draw from, and help them identifying areas and directions for future work.

DISCUSSIONS & FINDINGS

There is little evidence of research on alignment of Information strategies in Supply Chain until (Seggie et al, 2006). There is lack of empirical research to examine relationship between Supply Chain strategies and e-Business (Cagliano et al 2003). But during past two decades, attention has been received by the Supply Chain Management Performance by the industries in order to achieve competitive advantage. Researchers have been carried out to find the effect of increased IT practices in Supply Chain.

According to Shaw (2000) the two most important barriers in implementing internet and extranet technologies in Supply Chain are the security and access privileges. Also, by Jharkaria and Shankar,(2000) tampering of information and unauthorized access by the competitors may lead to disasters. Thus it is advised that the security aspects must be considered while using IT Tools in the Supply chain. Implementation of cross organizational information system is costly, time consuming and risky.

There must be full co-ordination and confirmation among the Supply Chain partners to agree on adoption and specification of the technical systems to be used in Supply chain. Having compatible IT tools to communicate electronically in Supply Chain trading partners is the necessary conditions. IT Enabled Supply Chain will be a success if the partner companies are ready to share information for their mutual benefits as many companies are still reluctant in sharing their information with their business partners (Lee & Whang, 2000).

According to Neuman & Christopher,(1996); Laster,(1997); Kilpatrick & Factor,(2000) ; Agarwal & Shankar, (2003) the important issues in IT Enabled Supply Chain environment are the mutual trust for confidentiality of information and long term relationship as IT enablement of Supply Chain is a strategic and capital intensive issue. If there is lack of mutual understanding and trust among supply chain partners no strategy can be adopted on the long term basis.

As because of IT enablement there may arise some changes in work culture and nature of work of some of the employees which may result in some resistance from the employees. Sometimes the hierarchy of organization may also needs to be changed (Andraski (1998), Lalonde (2000).

According to Bender,(2000); Kilpatrick and Factor,(2000); LaLonde,(2000); Monczka and Morgan(1997), improper or poor IT infrastructure is barrier in the Supply Chain integration. The reason for this may be the lack of funds or the lack of awareness and commitment of top management about use of IT tools in a Supply Chain. Also Supply Chain partners may not agree on the adoption and specifications of the technical system to be used in supply chain, eg. EDI standards (Lee and Whang, 2000)

The scarcity of tracking technologies like global positioning systems (GPS),(www.scmr.com) the inability of ports to handle goods quickly, and the lack of modern technology in warehouse. (RAMANA REDDY&N.V.S.RAJU, 2013) result in slow process through the Supply Chain.

Kadambi (2000) has reported weak infrastructure outside the organization and small size of the trading partners as the inhibitors in the IT enablement of Supply Chain. Size of the firm is also a main driver for organizational arrangements in any of the IT enabled business. Existing researches/evidences show that SMEs are less likely to use new practices than larger firms. There is the impact of firm size on decisions related to resources available for investment in IT as smaller businesses are often less aware of full potential benefits of e-Business. According to Chapman et al, 2000 there is lack of assistance from larger Supply Chain. Generally suppliers think that customer prefer printed catalogues, prefer to order over the phones and thus they can't 'trust' that complicated product will arrive as ordered.

These smaller firms are more worried about the viruses and e-hackers and thus cannot prioritize the e-mails. Their assistive technology chain is too small and hence they believe that e-business adds extra time and money to their cost as price is the key driver. The financial factors and lack of compatibility of partners are also the barriers in the IT enablement of manufacturing companies- according to Sohal et al(2001) & Kwan (1999).

Soliman and Youssef (2001) emphasize that an e-Business strategy should specify the aims, goals and context of application. According to them large and small firms will have different objectives and strategies and thus e-Business should be in a way reliant to specific organization.

For IT enabled business practices different social formations and regions are also responsible.(Berger & Dore,1996).

On the basis of literature review and discussions with industries and academia, Jhakaria and Shankar, (2005) identified 11 barriers which are presented in the table I-.

Table I: Barriers in IT Enablement of Supply Chain

S.No.	Barriers in IT Enablement of Supply Chain
1	Disparity in trading partner's capability
2	Resistance to change to IT enabled SCM
3	Low level of SC integration
4	Threats of information security
5	Lack of trust in SC Linkage
6	Fear of Information System Breakdown
7	Low priority by management
8	Fear of SC breakdown
9	Lack of funds
10	Poor IT infrastructure facilities
11	Lack of awareness about use of IT in SC

CONCLUSION

Though there are certain barriers but still some IT based performance measurement framework have been developed to make information handling and maintenance easy and reliable for the organization. This study has revealed the problems and requirements of today's broadened, enabled supply chain performance measurements systems as distinctive from the traditional performance measurement systems. To improve visibility across functions, in order to respond faster to the changing business needs and to create truly adaptive Supply Chain, is the main challenge for many Supply Chain organizations. As Supply Chains are becoming more and more complex and extended day-by-day the end to end visibility into Supply Chain operations will be possible by the effective integration of IT Enabled Tools. It can be concluded that there are the barriers which slow down the process of IT enabled Supply Chain. Hence there is need to identify them and develop the strategies to overcome these barriers. For this further research development efforts and future advancements are still required. It is still a fruitful research area with the need of further research on supply chain performance measurement

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal, A. and R. Shankar (2003). "Online trust building in e-Enabled Supply Chain." *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* 8(4): 324-334.
2. Akyuz, G.A. and Rehan, M., 2009. Requirements for forming an 'e-supply chain'. *International Journal of Production Research*, 47 (12), 3265–3287.
3. Akyuz, G.A. and Erkan, T.E. (2010) 'Supply chain performance measurement: a literature review', *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 48, No. 17, pp.5137–5155.
4. Alfaro, J. A., M. J. Alvarez, et al. (2002). Lagging behind versus advancing too fast? Identifying gaps research in Supply Chain. 9th Annual Meeting of the European Operations Management Association (EUROMA), Copenhagen, Denmark.
5. Arshinder, Kanda, A., Deshmukh, S.G., 2008. "The supply chain Coordination: perspectives, empirical studies and research directions" *international journal of production economics* 115, 316-335.
6. Automation Research Corporation. "Different Supply Chain Requires Different SCM Solutions" *ERP Journal for the Intelligent Enterprise*, pp.28-30, Vol.1, No. 3, March 1999
7. B. Sahay, (Ed.) *Supply Chain Management in the Twenty First Century*. Macmillan, 2002
8. Beamon, B. M., (1999), *Measuring supply chain performance*, *International Journal of Operation & Production Management*, Vol. 19 No.3, pp275-292.
9. Bentit M.Beamon, "Measuring Supply Chain Performance and Production Management,1999,vol-19, no:3 pp.4-16.
10. Bernardes, E. and Zsidisin, G.A., 2008. An examination of strategic supply management benefits and performance implications. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 14 (4), 209–219.
11. Bhagwat, R. and Sharma, M.K., 2007. Performance measurement of supply chain management using the analytical hierarchy process. *Production Planning & Control*, 18 (8), 666–680.
12. Boyd, S. L., J. E. Hobbs, et al. (2003). "The Impact of Customs on Business to Consumer e-Commerce in Food Products." *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* 8(3): 195-200.
13. Boyer, K. K. and J. R. Olson (2002). "Drivers of Internet Purchasing Success." *Production and Operations Management* 11(4): 480-498.
14. Brenner, W. and V. Hamm (1996). "Information technology for purchasing in a process environment." *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management* 2(4): 211-219.
15. Bruun, P. and R. N. Mefford (2004). "Lean production and the Internet." *International Journal of Production Economics* 89(3): 247-260.
16. Cagliano, R., F. Caniato, et al. (2003). "E-Business Strategy: How companies are shaping their supply chain through the Internet." *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* 23(10): 1142-1162.
17. Calosso, T., M. Cantamessa, et al. (2003). "Production planning and order acceptance in business electronic commerce." *International Journal of Production Economics* 85: 233-249.
18. Chan, F. T. S. (2003) 'Performance Measurement in a Supply Chain', *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, Vol. 21, pp.534–548.

19. Chandrakumar, Jain, Rathore, Nepal(2012)' 'Research and Opportunities in Supply Chain Modeling: A Review' International Journal of Supply Chain Management Vol. 1, No. 3, pp.63-86
20. Croom, S., Romano, P. and Giannakis, M. (2000) 'Supply chain management: an analytical framework for critical literature review', European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management, Vol. 6, pp.67–83.
21. Christopher, M. (1998). Logistics and Supply Chain Management: Strategies for reducing cost and improving service. London, Financial Times Pitman Publishing.
22. Cristina Giménez, Helena R. Lourenço 'e-Supply Chain Management: review, implications and directions for future research'
23. Croom, S. R. (2005). "The impact of e-business on supply chain management." International Journal of Operations & Production Management 25(1): 55-73.
24. Cuthbertson, Richard and Piotrowicz, Wojciech (2008) Supply chain best practices – identification and categorisation of measures and benefits. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 57 (5). pp. 389-404.
25. De Burca, S., B. Fynes, et al. (2005). "Strategic technology adoption: extending ERP across the supply chain." Journal of Enterprise Information Management 18(4): 427 - 440.
26. Dedrick, J. and K. L. Kraemer (2005). "The Impacts of IT on Firm and Industry Structure: The personal computer industry." California Management Review 47(3): 122-142.
27. Disney, S. M., M. M. Naim, et al. (2004). "Assessing the impact of e-business on supply chain dynamics." International Journal of Production Economics 89(2): 109-118.
28. Eng, T.-Y. (2005). "The Influence of a Firm's Cross-Functional Orientation on Supply Chain Performance." The Journal of Supply Chain Management 41(4): 4-16.
29. Frohlich, M. T. (2002). "E-Integration in the Supply Chain: Barriers and Performance." Decision Sciences 33(4): 537-556.
30. Grieger, M. (2003). "Electronic Marketplaces: A literature review and a call for supply chain management review." European Journal of Operational Research 144: 280- 294.
31. Gunasekaran, A. and Kobu, B. (2007) 'Performance measures and metrics in logistics and supply chain management: a review of recent literature (1995–2004) for research and application', International Journal of Production Research, Vol. 45, No. 12, pp.2819–2840.
32. Gunasekaran, A. and Ngai, E.W.T., 2004. Information systems in supply chain integration and management. European Journal of Operations Research, 159 (2), 269–295.
33. Gunasekaran, A. Patelb, C. and McGaughey, R.E (2004) 'A framework for supply chain performance measurement', International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 87, pp.333–347.
34. Gunasekaran, A., Patel, C., & Tirtiroglu, E. (2001) 'Performance measures and metrics in a supply chain environment', International Journal of Production and Operations Management, Vol. 21, No. 1/2, pp.71–87.
35. Iyer, K. N. S., R. Germain, et al. (2004). "Supply chain B2B e-commerce and time-based delivery performance." International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management 34(8): 645-661.
36. J. Mentzer, (Ed.) Supply Chain Management. Response Books (A division of Sage Publications), New Delhi, 2001
37. Kelle, P. and Akbulut, A., 2005. The role of ERP tools in supply chain information sharing, cooperation and cost optimisation. International Journal of Production Economics, 93/94, 41–52.
38. La Londe, B.J. (1997) Supply chain management: myth or reality, Supply Chain Management Review, I (Spring), pp.6–7.
39. Lee, H. L. and S. Whang (2001). "Winning the last Mile of E-Commerce." MIT Sloan Management Review 42(4): 54-62.
40. Lee, H. L. and S. Whang (2002). "The Impact of Secondary Market on the Supply Chain." Management Science 48(6): 719-731.
41. Martin, P.R. and Patterson, J.W., 2009. On measuring company performance within a supply chain.
42. International Journal of Production Research, 47 (9), 2449–2460.
43. McAfee A (2002) The impact of enterprise information technology adoption on operational performance: an empirical investigation. Prod Oper Manage 11(1):33–53

44. Md. Mamun Habib,' Supply chain management: theory and its future perspectives', International Journal of Business, Management and Social Sciences Vol. 1, No. 1, 2010, pp. 79-87
45. Min H., Zhou G., "Supply chain modeling: past, present and future", Computers and Industrial Engineering, Vol. 43, pp.239-249, 2002
46. Min.S, and Mentzer.J.T, "Developing and Measuring Supply Chain Concepts". Journal of Business Logistics 2004, Vol 24 No1.
47. N.Viswanadham and Roshan Gaonkar, "Understanding the E Supply Chain Design and Future trends", The Logistic Institute- Asia Pacific, National University of Singapore.
48. Pant, S., Sethi, R., and Bhandari, M., 2003. Making sense of the e-supply chain landscape: an implementation framework. International Journal of Information Management, 23 (3), 201–221.
49. Prasad, S. and S. Babbar (2000). "International Operations Management Research." Journal of Operations Management 18: 209-247.
50. R Prasad,' IT ENABLED SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT',(2007) Serbian Journal of Management 2 (1) (2007) 47 – 56
51. R.P Mohanty, S.G. Deshmukh, "Essentials of Supply Chain Management", 2004, Jaico publishing house
52. REDDY, RAJU,' ISSUES AND CHALLENGES OF SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN INDIA' International Journal of Mechanical and Production Engineering (IJMPE) ISSN No.: 2315-4489, Vol-2, Iss-1, 2013
53. Sanjay Jharkharia and Ravi Shankar,' SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: SOME INSIGHTS FROM INDIAN MANUFACTURING COMPANIES', Asian Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 9, No. 1, 79–98, January 2004
54. Sanjay Upendram, kaushika Madhavan, Arun Krishnan "Competitive Automotive Supply Chains in India" white paper, 2004, November, in souvenir, Indian Institute of Materials Management, Supply Chain expo 2005.
55. Simichi L., Philip K., "Designinig and Managing the Supply Chain," II edition, Tata Mc Graw Hill Publishing Co. Limited 2004
56. Sunil Chopra and Peter Meindl "Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning and Operations". Pearson Education Pvt.Ltd., India.
57. Swafford, P.M., Ghosh, S., and Murthy, N., 2008. Achieving supply chain agility through IT integration and flexibility. International Journal of Production Economics, 116 (2), 288–297.
58. Thakkar, J. Kanda, A. and Deshmukh, S.G. (2009) 'Supply chain performance measurement framework for small and medium scale enterprises', Benchmarking: An International Journal, Vol. 16, No. 5, pp.702–723.
59. Tiaojun X., Danqin Y., "Risk sharing and information revelation mechanism of a one-manufacturer and oneretailer supply chain facing an integrated competitor", European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 196, pp.1076–1085, 2009
60. Vaart T. V., van Donk D. P., "A critical review of surveybased research in supply chain integration", International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 111, pp.42–55, 2008
61. Van Hoek, R. I. (2001). "E-Supply Chains - Virtually N on-Existing." Supply Chain Management: An International Journal 6(1): 21-28.
62. Wong, W.P. (2009) 'Performance evaluation of supply chain in stochastic environment: using a simulation based DEA framework', Int. J. Business Performance and Supply Chain Modelling, Vol. 1, Nos. 2/3, pp.203–228.
63. Yao, K. and Liu, C., 2006. An integrated approach for measuring supply chain performance. Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing, 2 (10), 17.
64. Zillur Rehman, "Use of Internet in Supply Chain Management": A Study of Indian Companies Industrial Management and Data Systems, 2004, Vol: 104, No:1
65. www.365businessdays.com
66. Goldman sachs(<http://www.gs.com/hightech/research/>)